1 2 3 4 5 6	MAUNE.RAICHLE.HARTLEY.FRENCH & M. David L. Amell, Esq. (State Bar No. 227207) Rabiah N. Oral, Esq. (State Bar No. 319905) 1900 Powell Street, Suite 200 Emeryville, California 94608 Telephone: (800) 358-5922 Facsimile: (314) 241-4838 damell@mrhfmlaw.com roral@mrhfmlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	IUDD, LLC
7	LIMITED STATES DI	STRICT COLIRT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	NORTHERN DISTRIC	I OF CALIFORNIA
111	MICHAEL R. MARCUS and VICTORIA L. MARCUS, Plaintiffs, vs. AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Case No.: 4:22-cv-09058-HSG [Alameda County Superior Court Case No.: 22CV021840] STIPULATION TO EXTEND JURISDICTION OVER CONDITIONALLY DISMISSED DEFENDANT EATON CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR-IN INTEREST TO CUTLER-HAMMAR, INC.; ORDER Courtroom: 02, 4 th Floor District Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam Jr. Filed in State Court: November 15, 2022 Removed to NDCA: December 21, 2022 Trial Date: September 9, 2024.
222 223 224 225 226 227 228	STIPULATION TO EXTEND JURISDICTION OVER CON CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR-IN INTEREST TO CU HSG]	IDITIONALLY DISMISSED DEFENDANT EATON TLER-HAMMAR, INC. [Case No. 4:22-cv-09058-

6

8

10

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs Michael R. Marcus and Victoria L. Marcus ("Plaintiffs") and EATON CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO CUTLER-HAMMAR, INC. ("Defendant") hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. On November 15, 2022, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint for Personal Injury and Loss of Consortium Asbestos in the Superior Court of the State of California, Couty of Alameda Case No. 22CV021840.
- 2. On December 21, 2022, the above action was removed to the United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 4:22-09058.
- 3. On September 4, 2024, Plaintiffs and Defendant reached an agreement of all claims in this action.
- 4. On September 11, 2024, the Court granted a conditional dismissal with prejudice as to EATON CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO CUTLER-HAMMAR, INC., with the Court retaining jurisdiction for 60 days.
- 5. While all terms of settlement are agreed upon, the terms of settlement are not yet perfected. Plaintiffs and Defendant agree that this matter should not be litigated due to the agreed-upon resolution.
- 6. Therefore, Plaintiffs and Defendant stipulate and request that this Court retain jurisdiction over the matter as it pertains to Defendant EATON CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO CUTLER-HAMMAR, INC., for an additional forty-five (45) days.

DATED: December 10, 2024

Maune Raichle Hartley French & Mudd LLC

By:

Rabiah N. Oral

Attorney for Plaintiffs

27

28

Hugo Parker, LLP

/s/ Bina Ghanaat By:

Edward R. Hugo Bina Ghanaat Robert J. Bugatto

Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammar,

Inc.

LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3) SERVICE AND FILING OF PLEADINGS AND OTHER **PAPERS**

In accordance with L.R5-1(i)(3), I, Rabiah N. Oral, attest that all signatories identified above, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have

authorized the filing.

DATED: December 10, 2024

DATED: December 10, 2024

By:

Rabiah N. Oral, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

<u>ORDER</u>

Having read and considered the foregoing stipulation of parties, and good cause appearing:

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the terms of settlement between Plaintiffs and Defendant EATON CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO CUTLER-HAMMAR, INC., are to be perfected within forty-five (45) days of this order. The Court retains jurisdiction over the matter as it pertains to Defendant EATON CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO CUTLER-HAMMAR, INC., for forty-five (45) days from the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 12/12/2024

Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE